| 1 | SCOTT N. SCHOOLS (SC 9990) United States Attorney |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | JOANN M. SWANSÓN (CSBN 88143) Chief, Civil Division MELANIE L. PROCTOR (CSBN 228971) |
| 4 | Melanie.Proctor@usdoj.gov Assistant United States Attorney |
| 5 | 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102-3495 |
| 6 | Telephone: (415) 436-6730 FAX: (415) 436-6927 |
| 7 | Attorneys for Defendants |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
| 9 | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| 10 | SAN JOSE DIVISION |
| 11 | QIN CHEN,) No. C 07-4688 HRL |
| 12 | Plaintiff, |
| 13 | v.) |
| 14 | MICHAEL B. MUKASEY,* United States) ADR CERTIFICATION |
| 15 | Attorney General; MICHAEL CHERTOFF,) Secretary of the Department of Homeland) |
| 16 | Security; EMILIO T. GONZALEZ, Director) |
| 17 | of the Čitizenship and Immigration Services;) ROBERT S. MUELLER III, Director of the |
| 18 | Federal Bureau of Investigation,) |
| 19 | Defendants.) |
| 20 | Each of the undersigned certifies that he or she has read either the handbook entitled |
| 21 | "Dispute Resolution Procedures in the Northern District of California," or the specified portions of |
| 22 | the ADR Unit's Internet site < www.adr.cand.uscourts.gov>, discussed the available dispute |
| 23 | resolution options provided by the court and private entities, and considered whether this case might |
| 24 | benefit from any of them. |
| 25 | Here, the parties agree that referral to a formal ADR process will not be beneficial because |
| 26 | this mandamus action is limited to Plaintiff's request that this Court compel Defendants to |
| 27 | |
| 28 | *Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 25(d)(1), Michael B. Mukasey is substituted for his predecessor, Alberto Gonzales, as the United States Attorney General. |

ADR CERTIFICATION No. C 07-4688 HRL

| 1 | adjudicate the application for adjustment of status. Given the substance of the action and the lack |
|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | of any potential middle ground, ADR will only serve to multiply the proceedings and unnecessarily |
| 3 | tax court resources. Accordingly, pursuant to ADR L.R. 3-3(c), the parties request the case be |
| 4 | removed from the ADR Multi-Option Program and that they be excused from participating in the |
| 5 | ADR phone conference and any further formal ADR process. |
| 6 | Dated: November 27, 2007 Respectfully submitted, |
| 7 | SCOTT N. SCHOOLS United States Attorney |
| 8 | Officed States Attorney |
| 9 | MELANIE L. PROCTOR ¹ |
| 10 | Assistant United States Attorney Attorneys for Defendants |
| 11 | / Ktorneys for Defendants |
| 12 | Dated: November 26, 2007/s/ |
| 13 | JUSTIN FOK Attorney for Plaintiffs |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | ORDER |
| 17 | Pursuant to stipulation, IT IS SO ORDERED. |
| 18 | |
| 19 | Dated:, 2007HOWARD R. LLOYD |
| 20 | United States District Judge |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 0.5 | |
| 27 28 | ¹ I, Melanie L. Proctor, hereby attest that I have on file all holograph signatures for any signatures indicated by a "conformed" signature (/S/) within this efiled document. |